

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/002,008	12/05/2001	Riichiro Ikeda	1560-0374P-SP	2855	
2292	7590 05/17/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			SPISICH, GEORGE D		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHUI	RCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3616		
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/17/200	DATE MAILED: 05/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/002,008	IKEDA, RIICHIRO)				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>				
	George D. Spisich	3616					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addi	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 12 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the substitution of this application of the substitution of the subst	cation. A proper rep ich places the applic	oly to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	•						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three molearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.5 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) \times they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) M they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
$3. \boxtimes$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s): 103 rejection of claims	11, 12 and 16.					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>11-20</u> would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NC	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: 3,5,8-10 and 13-15							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,7,11,12 and 16</u> .		•					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. Other:		10.					
	fal	KL 5/13	3104				
		N. DICKSON	1				
		PATENT EXAMINER GY CENTER 3600					
S. Patent and Trademark Office	TECHNULU						

Application No.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 110/002,008

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Examiner maintains that the motor of Ryne et al. would indeed release upon impact with the connection as shown in Figure 2 and meet the limitations of claims 1, 2 and 7.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner maintains that the 102 rejection of claim 1 and 103 rejection of claims 2 and 7 are proper.